

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,562	09/887,562 06/25/2001		Ryo Katsura	2635-24	7552
23117	7590	03/06/2003	•		
NIXON &		,	EXAMINER		
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714				MILLER, CARL STUART	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3747	
				DATE MAILED: 03/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s) 09/887,562 RATSURA etal					
Office Action Summary	Examiner Art Unit 3747					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply	· ·					
	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the					
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply withing the NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apper apply entry the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, caused, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status						
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on/	- 6 0 Z					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This a	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	1 26					
4) Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.					
_	§ and 21-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) \square Claim(s) $24-2$	is/are allowed.					
6) \square Claim(s) $1-7,9,15$	is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 8, 10, 17	and 19-20 is/are objected to.					
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	y to this Office action.					
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:						
1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the partified against act received.						
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	ine priority under 55 0.5.C. 33 120 dflu/01 121,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:					
	I					

Application/Control Number: 09/887,562

Art Unit: 3747

Claim 11-14, 18 and 21-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 7.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Horiuchi (EP ('402)).

In particular, Figure 1 shows all the limitations of these claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horiuchi in view of Betz.

Horiuchi applies as noted and Betz shows a detachable connector for an injector, including an insulated lead. Since both are similar injectors it would have been obvious to use a detachable connector in Horiuchi.

Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horiuchi in view of Takashi.

Takashi (JP('454)) teaches a piezoelectric stack in a housing which is closed by an upper and lower plate, thereby making such a detail obvious to use in Horiuchi.

Claims 8, 10,17, and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 24-29 are allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Carl S. Miller Primary Examines